# No.7

# 陰影解析

# Shape from intensity

#### 担当教員:向川康博・田中賢一郎

## Shape-from-X

#### **Shape estimation from "X" as a clue**



Stereo / Motion



Triangulation



**Texture** 



Focus / Defocus



Time

#### Shape-from-Intensity

**Shape estimation with observed intensity as a clue** 

- **Inverse process of rendering to determine pixel** intensity
	- **Olnverse geometry**

**Known** :Illuminations and reflectance properties **Unknown**:Scene shape

■Clue

Shading, specular reflection, shadow, etc.



# Shape-from-Shading

#### **Shape estimation based on shading**

- **Photometric information contains a lot of clues about** geometry.
	- Surface normal

**□Depth** 

**Start with a simple problem setting**  $\Box A$  point light source at infinity (parallel light) Fixed camera and target object Perfect Lambert reflection

(No specular reflection, No shadow) No global illumination



#### Surface normal



■Partial differential of *z* with *x* and *y* to define the inclination.

$$
-\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{A}{C} = p \qquad -\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = \frac{B}{C} = q
$$

**Unit normal vector from the inclination.** 

**¤**defined by two parameters

$$
\mathbf{n} = \frac{(p, q, 1)^{\mathrm{T}}}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2 + 1}}
$$

# Illumination and reflection

#### Parallel illumination

**□**Ideal illumination coming from a point light source at infinity Every surface point is illuminated with the same irradiance from the same direction T<sub>1</sub>

Unit parallel illumination vector

$$
\mathbf{s} = \frac{(p_s, q_s, 1)^1}{\sqrt{p_s^2 + q_s^2 + 1}}
$$

**s**

**n** normal

#### **Lambert diffuse reflection**

■Ideal reflection that uniformly reflects incident light in all directions illumination

 $(\rho \geq 0)$ 



 $\Box \rho$ : Lambert diffuse reflectance

$$
\boldsymbol{i} = \boldsymbol{\rho} \, \mathbf{s}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{n}
$$

Problem setting

#### **EXALUAGE:** Known: illumination and observed intensities **Unknown: normal**

$$
\mathbf{n} = \frac{(p, q, 1)^{\mathrm{T}}}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2 + 1}} \quad \mathbf{s} = \frac{(p_s, q_s, 1)^{\mathrm{T}}}{\sqrt{p_s^2 + q_s^2 + 1}}
$$

**For simplicity, assuming that diffuse reflectance**  $\rho = 1$ 

$$
I = \cos \theta_i = \mathbf{n}^T \mathbf{s} = \frac{(pp_s + qq_s + 1)}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2 + 1}\sqrt{p_s^2 + q_s^2 + 1}} = \frac{R(p,q)}{\text{Reference map}}
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{s} = \frac{\theta_i}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2 + 1}\sqrt{p_s^2 + q_s^2 + 1}} = \frac{R(p,q)}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2 + 1}\sqrt{p^2 + q^2 + 1}}
$$



## Shape-from-Shading

**The normal**  $(p, q)$  cannot be uniquely determined from one observed intensity

**One equation and two unknown parameters** 

**Need to add some information** 

Assumption that the object surface is smooth

**OPrior knowledge of shape** 

Increase Illumination directions



# Photometric Stereo(照度差ステレオ)

- **Taking multiple images with changing illumination** directions
- **Solving ambiguity in the reflectance map**
- **Examming Lambert diffuse reflection, it can be solved** linearly



#### Example of Photometric Stereo



Input images Normal map

In the case of two light sources

**The intersection of the two solution curves becomes** new solution space



#### In the case of three light sources



Linear solution in the case of three light sources

**Assuming that three observation intensities**  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$ were obtained for a pixel under three different illumination directions  $(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ 



 $s_3$ 

 $S_2$ 

 $s_1$ 

**Stable because it can be solved linearly.** Reflectance  $(\rho)$  is also estimated at the same time.

## In the case of more than three light sources

**Assumed that observed brightness**  $(i_1, i_2, ..., i_M)$  was obtained under  $M$  (> 3) different illumination directions  $(s_1, s_2, ..., s_M)$ 



Since the illumination matrix  $S$  is not a square matrix, calculated using a pseudo inverse matrix

$$
\widetilde{n} = \left(S^T S\right)^{-1} S^T i = S^+ i
$$

Moore Penrose(ムーア・ペンローズ)inverse matrix

**Least squares method assuming that observation error is** Gaussian distribution

**Emore stable and accurate solution** 

# The merit of multiple light sources

**E** Avoid specular reflection and shadow Assuming that pure Lambert diffuse reflection can be observed in at least three images.



without

with



Estimated reflectance Shading generated from the estimated normal

> Barsky, S, et al, The 4-source photometric stereo technique for three-dimensional surfaces in the presence of highlights and shadows

# Photometric Stereo in Parthenon



**Near light source photometric stereo.** 

**Two black hemispheres to determine light source** positions.



[Per Einarsson et al., Photometric Stereo for Archeological Inscriptions, 2004]

## Summary of the number of light sources

**1** light source Shape-from-Shading

■2 light sources Photometric Stereo (照度差ステレオ法)

#### ■3 light sources

Uniquely solved Photometric Stereo

Simultaneous estimation of reflectance and normal

#### **Nore light sources**

Robust to specular reflection and shadow

# 3D shape and normal

**Even if normals are known, 3D shape cannot be** uniquely determined.

**□Height ambiguity due to different integral path.** Differential is easy, but integration is difficult.



# Integrability (可積分性)

■When integrated along a closed loop on a smooth surface, the integral value becomes zero.

 $\Box$ Independent to the integral path.

Minimization of objective function *E*(*Z*)



Estimation of light source direction/position

**Use reference object with known shape Estimate light source direction by a black sphere** 

**Estimate near light source position by two or more** black spheres

- $\Box$ In the outdoor, high dynamic range measurement is required
	- Mirror sphere for ambient light
	- Black sphere for position of sun
	- Diffuse sphere for brightness of ambient light



## When the illumination direction is unknown

**Illumination directions and strengths are unknown:** Uncalibrated Photometric Stereo (未校正照度差ステレオ)

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\ni_1 & \cdots & i_{1N} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
i_{M1} & \cdots & i_{MN}\n\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\n\mathbf{s}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{s}_M^{\mathrm{T}}\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\n\rho_1 \mathbf{n}_1 & \cdots & \rho_N \mathbf{n}_N\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

 $\blacksquare$  Singular value decomposition  $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{\tilde{N}} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}V^{\text{T}}$ 

$$
\begin{cases}\n\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{U}'(\Sigma')^{\frac{1}{2}} & \mathbf{U}' \sum_{\substack{[M \times N] \\ \text{in } N}} \mathbf{V}^T \\
\mathbf{N} = (\Sigma')^{\frac{1}{2}} V^T\n\end{cases}
$$

# Bas-Relief Ambiguity (浅浮き彫りの曖昧性)

**Decomposition of a matrix is not uniquely determined.** 

 $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{S}\widetilde{\mathbf{N}} = (\mathbf{S}'\mathbf{H})(\mathbf{H}^{-1}\widetilde{\mathbf{N}}')$ 

**H** is any  $3 \times 3$  matrix

**Linear uncertainty** Different incident light and normal pairs produce the same shading





"The Bas-Relief Ambiguity", Belhumeur et al, 1997

## Shape-from-Shadow

**Limited existing space in the cone Otop vertex: light source** bottom surface: shadow region **Logical AND of many cones** 

**Estimate rough convex hull shape** (凸包形状)





## Shadow graphs

#### **Restrict the existing space of objects from shadows**









[ Shadow Graphs, Yu and Chang 2005] A part of the 48 input images Shape estimation

## Shape-from-Specularity

#### **Shape estimation based on specular reflection** ■Strong specular reflections around the mirror direction



A part of 65 input images Estimated





Camera (12bit, 1300x1030)

Metallic ball for estimating light source position



[Chen et al.,Mesostructure from Specularity (CVPR2006)]

## Scatter-Trace Photography

- **Shape estimation based on specular reflection**
- **EXCOMPLEX TRANSPATE:** Complex transparent scene with inhomogeneous interior Observed reference pattern on the transparent object Shape estimation by hypothesis and verification



Example of Scatter-Trace at each point on the surface

Nigel Morris and Kiriakos Kutulakos,

Reconstructing the Surface of Inhomogeneous Transparent Scenes by Scatter-Trace Photography, ICCV2007.

# Analysis of Scatter-Trace

 $\blacksquare$ If direct reflection on the surface, Scatter-Trace stripes  $\blacksquare$  intersect with the eye and the surface of the object monotonically decrease as the distance increases

 $T_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{I}}$ 







depth normal



Removing non-monotonically decreasing component  $\rightarrow$  If the direct reflection components remain, the assumed depth is correct.



# Example-based Photometric Stereo

[Aaron Hertzmann and Steven M Seitz, 2005]

#### **How to handle any BRDF?**

**□Reference object whose shape is known and BRDF is same** with the target object to be measured.

**OUsed as a look up table to find similar reflection properties.** 





Examples of the input images Estimated normal

 $\Box$ If reference objects can be prepared, any BRDF can be treated.





# Separation of reflection components (反射成分の分解)

#### Real scene

#### **Many phenomena mix in real image.**

Use complex model or decompose in advance.





Again

#### Dichromatic reflection model (Shafer 1985) (2色性反射モデル)

#### Reflected light = **Diffuse reflection** + **Specular reflection**

- Diffuse reflection (拡散反射): ■Reflection inside the surface layer **□Object color**
- ■Specular reflection (鏡面反射):  $\blacksquare$ Reflection at the border between air and surface layer  $\Box$ Light color









Diffuse reflection Specular reflection Sum of both reflection

## Dichromatic reflection model

#### **Decomposition based of color difference**



## Viewpoint dependency

**Notifuse reflection: independent on viewpoint** 

**Specular reflection: dependent on viewpoint** Assume uniform specular reflection characteristics Simultaneous estimation of light source distribution from specular reflection components









Input image (different viewpoint) Diffuse reflection Specular reflection

[ Nishino01 ]

# Difference in polarization (偏光)

- **Separate specular reflection components using polarization**
- Simultaneous estimation of specular reflection parameter and near light source position
- **Assuming monochromatic uniform reflection characteristics**





Input image Diffuse reflection component Specular reflection component

#### RANSAC-based method



**Example 20 Convert real image into pure Lambert image based on** RANSAC (RANdom SAmple Consensus)

Choose three images randomly from the input image set, converted to fully satisfy Lambert model (linearization)

Remove shadows and specular reflections as outliers



conversion

```
\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} \widetilde{\mathbf{n}}\overline{\phantom{a}}
```


One of the input image Linearized image

(Negative: red)

Estimation of optical phenomena

Y. Mukaigawa, et al, ``Analysis of photometric factors based on photometric linearization'', JOSA2007

Some approaches to handle more complex scene

**Preprocess to extract pure Lambert diffuse reflection** component

□Optically or mathematically

**Use mode complex model** Since the parameter increases, it may become unstable

**Solve in the framework of robust estimation** Use many input images and consider non-Lambert components as outliers

**Solve by deep learning** 



#### Summary

- **From the shading information, not only photometric** information such as color and reflection properties, but also geometric information such as normal can be extracted.
- **Photometric stereo cannot estimate depth. It can** estimate surface normal.
- Many traditional methods assume Lambert diffuse reflection, but extended to more complex scene in recent years.