No.4 動きからの3次元復元

Structure from Motion and SLAM

担当教員:向川康博・田中賢一郎

Slide credits

- Special Thanks: Some slides are adopted from other instructors' slides.
	- Tomokazu Sato, former NAIST CV1 class
	- James Tompkin, Brown CSCI 1430 Fall 2017
	- Ioannis Gkioulekas, CMU 16-385 Spring 2018
	- We also thank many other instructors for sharing their slides.

Today's topics

- Reminder: calibration and stereo
- 2-view Structure from Motion (SfM)
- Multi-view SfM
	- Large-scale SfM
- visual SLAM

Direct Sparse Odometry

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C6-xwSOOdqQ>

Recap: Calibration and Stereo

• Camera calibration

Reconstruction(再構成)

How do you reconstruct?

We don't know both scene geometry and camera geometry

Reconstruction Problem

• Problems so far

 $x = MX$ known estimate known

Camera calibration Triangulation (Stereo)

 $\chi = MX$ known estimate

• Can we jointly estimate *M* and *X* ?

known estimate estimate

2-view SfM

Structure from Motion

Two-view SfM

1. Compute the Fundamental Matrix F from points correspondences **8-point algorithm**

 $\boldsymbol{p}^{\prime \top} \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{p} = 0$

Estimate F from matched pairs

Image feature matching

Good feature point

- Mini-report:2
	- Which is the good feature point to find corresponding point uniquely? What is the reason?
		- (1) corner
		- (2) edge
		- (3) flat

Good feature point

- (1) corner
	- \checkmark easy to find
- (2) edge
	- difficult due to **aperture problem**(窓問題)
- (3) flat
	- \checkmark difficult because no clues

Aperture problem (窓問題)

- Human eyes interpret conveniently.
- Corresponding point cannot be uniquely determined.

Interest operators

- Corner detectors to find small areas which are suitable as feature points
	- Moravec corner detector (1980)
	- Harris corner detector (1988)
	- KLT(Kanade-Locus-Tomasi) corner detector(1991)
- Check whether small area contains edges in multiple directions

Bad feature points: corresponding point cannot be determined uniquely.

Harris corner detector

- Principal component analysis of gradient in small area
- Classification by Eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2
	- 1. X and Y direction differentiation

 $X = \partial I / \partial x$ $Y = \partial I / \partial y$

2. Calculation of variance and covariance

$$
A = X2 \otimes w \qquad B = Y2 \otimes w \qquad C = (XY) \otimes w
$$

$$
w_{u,v} = \exp - (u2 + v2)/2\sigma2
$$

3. Eigenvalues of the variance-covariance matrix

$$
M = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2
$$

Principal component analysis of image gradient

Harris corner detector

• Eigenvalue-based classification

Image feature descriptor - SIFT -

- Features that are invariant to changes in scale and rotation of the image
- Intensity gradient histogram
	- Rotate coordinate axis in gradient direction (invariant to rotational change)
	- Normalize the vector sum (to reduce the influence of lighting changes)

Image feature descriptor - SIFT -

- Accumulate while overlapping gradient information around key points with Gaussian function
- Histogram in 8 directions every $4 \times 4 = 16$ blocks
	- 128 dimensional feature vector

Two-view SfM

1. Compute the Fundamental Matrix F from points correspondences **8-point algorithm**

 $\boldsymbol{p}^{\prime \top} \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{p} = 0$

Estimate F from matched pairs

Image feature matching

RANSAC (RANdom SAmpling Consensus)

Eliminating outliers (外れ値).

- 1. Randomly sample two points from given points
- 3. Repeat 1 and 2 for given number of iterations.
- 2. Count inliers for the line that passes selected two points.

28

4. Select the line that maximize the number of inliers.

8-point algorithm

• Randomly sampled 8 points

Do the remaining points agree?

Two-view SfM

- 1. Compute the Fundamental Matrix F from points correspondences **8-point algorithm**
- 2. Compute the camera matrices M from the Fundamental matrix

 $M = [I|0]$ and $M' = [[e_x]F]e'$

Estimation of camera pose from pairs of feature points

Even if we do not know 3D positions of feature points, we can estimate camera's rotation **and** translation direction t by epipolar constraint from multiple 2D positions of corresponding feature pairs.

$$
M=[I|0] \text{ and } M'=[[e_x]F|e']
$$

It should be noted that, we cannot recover the scale of t (actual distance between c_0 and c_1).

東武ワールドスクエア

Unknown real size

• Miniature effect

https://ameblo.jp/9999999999999999999/entry-10332221773.html 34

Front/back ambiguity

• Find the configuration where the points is in front of both cameras

Two-view SfM

- 1. Compute the Fundamental Matrix F from points correspondences **8-point algorithm**
- 2. Compute the camera matrices M from the Fundamental matrix

 $M = [I|0]$ and $M' = [[e_x]F]e'$

3. For each point correspondence, compute the point \boldsymbol{X} in 3D space **Triangulate** with $x = MX$ and $x' = M'X$

Structure from motion ambiguity

• If we scale the entire scene by some factor k and, at the same time, scale the camera matrices by the factor of $1/k$, the projections of the scene points in the image remain exactly the same:

$$
x = MX = \left(\frac{1}{k}M\right)(kX)
$$

It is impossible to recover the absolute scale of the scene!

Structure from motion ambiguity

- If we scale the entire scene by some factor k and, at the same time, scale the camera matrices by the factor of $1/k$, the projections of the scene points in the image remain exactly the same
- More generally: if we transform the scene using a transformation ρ and apply the inverse transformation to the camera matrices, then the images do not change

$$
x = MX = \left(MQ^{-1}\right)(QX)
$$

Reconstruction ambiguity

Calibrated cameras (similarity projection ambiguity)

Uncalibrated cameras

(projective projection ambiguity)

Multi-view SfM

Projective structure from motion

• Given: m images of n fixed 3D points

•
$$
x_{ij} = M_i X_{j}, i = (1, ..., m, j = (1, ..., n)
$$

• Problem: estimate m projection matrices M_i and n 3D points $\pmb{X_j}$ from the mn correspondences $\pmb{x_{ij}}$

Sequential SfM

Multi-view, ordered images

Initialization

- Initialize by 2-view SfM
- 1. Compute the Fundamental Matrix F from points correspondences **8-point algorithm**
- 2. Compute the camera matrices M from the Fundamental matrix

 $M = [I|0]$ and $M' = [[e_x]F]e'$

3. For each point correspondence, compute the point \boldsymbol{X} in 3D space **Triangulate** with $x = MX$ and $x' = M'X$

Idea for sequential SfM

If we know camera poses for a pair of image 1 and 2, we can continue to estimate camera poses and 3-D structure for new input by repeating 'mapping' and 'localization'.

Problem of accumulative errors by chaining relative poses

If relative camera poses are estimated with $+1\%$ biased scale error for each pair, the scale error at 100 frame will be $1.01^{100} = 2.70 = 270\%$. *This kind of effect is called as scale drift.

Bundle adjustment

- Non-linear method for refining structure and motion
- Minimizing reprojection error

Large-scale SfM

Multi-view, non-ordered images

Photo Tourism

Feature detection

Detect features using SIFT [Lowe, IJCV 2004]

Feature description

Describe features using SIFT [Lowe, IJCV 2004]

Feature matching

Refine matching using RANSAC to estimate fundamental matrix between each pair

Incremental structure from motion

Final reconstruction

visual SLAM

Simultaneous Localization and Mapping

Relationship between SfM and v-SLAM

Idea for sequential SfM

If we know camera poses for a pair of image 1 and 2, we can continue to estimate camera poses and 3-D structure for new input by repeating 'mapping' and 'localization'.

Basic pipeline of sequential visual SLAM

Parallel Tracking and Mapping

Local bundle adjustment is asynchronously processed for minimizing accumulation of errors using selected keyframes in order not to prevent real-time processing.

Basic flow

1. Find similar image of current input from already observed images

2. Find corresponding points between current and selected images.

3. Optimize data using bundle adjustment / Estimate camera pose.

LSD-SLAM: Large Scale Direct Monocular SLAM

LSD-SLAM: Large-Scale Direct Monocular SLAM

Jakob Engel, Thomas Schöps, Daniel Cremers ECCV 2014, Zurich

Computer Vision Group Department of Computer Science Technical University of Munich

TH

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GnuQzP3gty4 66

Final report

• Explain the reason of these strange views

Inconsistent?

- Physically consistent
	- $x = MX$

- Humans interpret conveniently.
	- 90 degree, rectangle, parallel,...
		- $x = MQ^{-1}QX$
	- Estimation of skewed shape

